

From: Alejandro Mayorkas (b)(6)

To: Mayorkas, Alejandro (b)(6)

Subject: Fwd: Press Roundup for TUES, 4/26

Date: 2022/04/27 07:06:22

Priority: Normal

Type: Note

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of DHS. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your component SOC with questions or concerns.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alejandro Mayorkas (b)(6)

Date: April 26, 2022 at 9:55:50 PM EDT

To: "ESPINOSA, MARSHA" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Press Roundup for TUES, 4/26

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 26, 2022, at 9:51 PM, ESPINOSA, MARSHA (b)(6)

From: ESPINOSA, MARSHA

Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 9:45 PM

To: 'Mayorkas, Alejandro' (b)(6)

Cc: Tien, John (b)(6) CANEGALLO, KRISTIE (b)(6)

REZMOVIC, JEFFREY (b)(6) ULLOA, ISABELLA (b)(6)

SEIDMAN, RICKI (b)(6) CALLAHAN, MARY ELLEN

(b)(6) LUGO, ALICE (b)(6) PECK, SARAH

(b)(6)

Subject: Press Roundup for TUES, 4/26

Good evening, Secretary,

BLUF: We saw a fair amount of coverage on the Supreme Court hearing on MPP and the IP memo today and spent much of the day clarifying the court's decision on T42 related to these matters. Some highlights for you below.

TODAY

(b)(5)

- o • [Axios: DHS outlines plan to secure border after Title 42 is lifted](#)
- o • [Associated Press: US preps for even busier border amid lifting of health order](#)
- o • [CBS News: Biden officials release updated post-Title 42 plan amid bipartisan criticism](#)

(b)(5)

- o • *"The Western District of Louisiana has announced its intent to grant a TRO that would restrict DHS's operations at the border that are essential to our preparations for when the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Title 42 public health Order expires. If and when the Court issues a TRO, the Department will comply with any such Order. DHS is continuing to apply the Title 42 Order and will do so until its termination on May 23. When the Title 42 Order is lifted, we intend to significantly expand the use of expedited removal through our Title 8 authorities and thereby impose long-term law enforcement consequences on those who seek to cross the border without a lawful basis to do so. It makes no sense that the plaintiffs would demand that DHS be stopped in its use of expedited removal or otherwise prevented from preparing for aggressive application of immigration law when the public health Order expires."*

(b)(5)

- o • [CNN: Supreme Court examines Biden's power to set US immigration policy in 'Remain in Mexico' challenge](#)
- o • [Reuters: U.S. Supreme Court weighs 'remain in Mexico' immigration dispute](#)

(b)(5)

Washington Post: [A top Democrat ties Pence's 'I'm not getting in the car' to Jan. 6 'coup'](#)
(Aaron Blake)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)



TOMORROW

(b)(5)



(b)(5)

NOTABLE CLIPS

New York Times: [Texas' Busing of Migrants to D.C. Isn't Having Abbott's Intended Effect Yet](#)
(Eileen Sullivan)

(b)(5)

Buzzfeed: [Some US Deportation Cases To Be Put On Hold In Immigration Courts](#) (Hamed Aleaziz)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Los Angeles Times: Supreme Court leans in favor of Trump over Biden in asylum border dispute (David G. Savage)

The Supreme Court justices gave a mostly skeptical hearing Tuesday to the Biden administration's bid to repeal a President Trump policy that requires tens of thousands of asylum seekers to wait in Mexico for their cases to be heard. Several of the court's conservatives said they agreed with Texas state lawyers and Trump-appointed judges who ruled that U.S. immigration officials may not allow these migrants to enter this country. They pointed to a provision in the 1996 law that said asylum seekers "shall be detained" while their claims are heard. Solicitor Gen. Elizabeth B. Prelogar, representing the administration, argued there was "no way" the government could detain so many asylum seekers for months or years. She told the court there were 220,000 migrants at the border in one month last year, but only 32,000 could be held in detention. Traditionally, the court has given the executive branch broad authority to enforce the immigration laws, including reversing the policies of prior administrations. But it is not clear the Biden administration will prevail in its plan to repeal Trump's strict immigration policies. But state lawyers for Texas and Missouri sued and last year won nationwide orders from judges that required the new administration to maintain the prior Trump policy. In August of last year, the justices by a 6-3 vote refused an emergency appeal seeking to overturn the judge's order. And there was no clear sign during Tuesday's argument the majority will do so now. The case was Biden vs. Texas, and a ruling will be handed down by late June.

Bloomberg Government: Biden Unveils Border Plan Details After Democrat Defections (Ellen Gilmer)

The Biden administration is sharing new details of how it will manage increased border crossings when pandemic-related restrictions end, an effort to mollify the growing number of Democrats who've criticized the plan. The six-part strategy, which focuses on speeding up migrant processing and targeting smugglers, largely reflects actions the Department of Homeland Security has already outlined but includes more specifics. For example, DHS commits to using a process called expedited removal to quickly deport migrants who don't meet initial thresholds to seek asylum. The agency expects significant increases in migrant arrivals after the

termination of Title 42, a public health authority that has allowed the quick expulsion of migrants since 2020. The policy is set to lift May 23, but that timeline may be thrown off by legal action. "Many elements of this plan are already being implemented as we manage a historic number of encounters, including a record number of noncitizens trying to enter the United States multiple times," Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas wrote in a 20-page memo released Tuesday. "Others are elements that we are prepared to implement once the Title 42 termination goes into effect." DHS is working to prepare for as many as 18,000 migrants a day when Title 42 lifts. Moderate and politically vulnerable Democrats previously argued that the agency lacked a sufficient plan for the influx. It remains unclear whether the new memo will win them over.

SOCIAL MEDIA





Sender: Alejandro Mayorkas (b)(6)

Recipient: Mayorkas, Alejandro / (b)(6)

Sent Date: 2022/04/27 07:00:35

Delivered Date: 2022/04/27 07:06:22