



Myths vs. Facts – Adult Use Cannabis in Indiana

Myth: Allowing the use of cannabis in Indiana will reduce public safety and result in more violent and property crimes.

Facts: The public safety impact of legalizing and regulating cannabis in other states has been extensively studied. Most of the existing research on the topic has found that such policy changes have either no impact or a positive impact on property and violent crime.ⁱ There are some mixed findings when it comes to property and nuisance crimes in urban neighborhoods with a cannabis dispensary and this means that our local and state leaders should be cautious about how tightly they regulate where dispensaries may open in our communities.ⁱⁱ

Myth: Allowing the regulated use of cannabis will take an important tool away from law enforcement which they can utilize to prosecute those engaged in more serious crimes.

Facts: Ending cannabis prohibition will allow law enforcement to focus on solving and preventing property and violent crimes during a time when cities across Indiana have experienced years of elevated violent crime.ⁱⁱⁱ Research from other states shows that taking the issue of enforcing cannabis prohibition off officers' plates allows them to solve more violent crimes and ensure more victims receive justice.^{iv}

Myth: Permitting the use of cannabis by adults will give minors greater access to the substance and increase their use of marijuana.

Facts: Even though recent data shows that teen substance use has declined to its lowest levels in a decade, our state must still undertake efforts to effectively prevent them from accessing any potentially harmful substances.^v While mixed, most research on this topic concludes that establishing an adult-use cannabis market in a state either does not impact or reduces the use of cannabis among minors.^{vi} The experience of 16 other states reveals that Indiana will not see a major spike in teen cannabis use if it establishes a regulated adult-use cannabis market.^{vii}

Myth: Marijuana is a “gateway drug” and even allowing appropriately regulated access to the substance will result in individuals increasing their use of other drugs.

Facts: The “gateway” theory for cannabis has been questioned by experts for decades and recent evidence tends to reveal that the opposite effect occurs when states allow the

use of cannabis.^{viii} A growing number of studies are finding that states allowing adult use of cannabis reduced binge drinking, overall alcohol consumption, and use of other more dangerous substances.^{ix} The existing research also finds that legalizing the adult use of cannabis results in the reduced prescription of opioids and reductions in opioid-related hospitalizations, deaths, and overdoses.^x

Myth: Allowing the use of cannabis will undermine workforce gains Indiana has achieved in recent years and reduce the number of residents willing to work full-time.

Facts: The limited research studying the topic has found that the legalization of cannabis has either not impacted or marginally improved labor force participation and unemployment.^{xi} There is also substantial research finding that allowing regulated cannabis access significantly reduces both the number of workers' compensation claims and the amount of compensation benefits secured by those who do receive benefits.^{xii}

Myth: Establishing an adult-use cannabis market in Indiana will not help farmers or other small businesses and only benefits a handful of large companies.

Facts: Indiana has an opportunity to establish an adult-use cannabis market which will contribute to its agricultural dominance and its robust economy powered by small businesses if it adopts a proper regulatory and licensing structure. The cannabis industry now supports more than 13,200 farms and has exceeded crops such as every fruit, rice, and peanuts in its total contribution to America's agricultural economy.^{xiii} The industry is also estimated to directly employ more than 428,000 individuals and millions more in industries that serve these businesses like plumbers and electricians.^{xiv}

Myth: Traffic fatalities will skyrocket if Indiana implements an adult-use cannabis market, and we have no credible way to prevent cannabis-impaired driving.

Facts: Evidence does seem to indicate that traffic fatalities and other negative traffic outcomes have *slightly* increased in states that have implemented an adult-use cannabis market.^{xv} This should not be surprising given that similar impacts have been seen when states expand access to alcohol.^{xvi} There are a number of studies that fail to find a relationship, but more research is needed to determine if a causal relationship exists given the scientific complications with how human bodies metabolize THC.^{xvii}

There is a solution – combining robust enforcement of Indiana's impaired driving laws and the expertise of law enforcement officers trained as "Drug Recognition Experts."^{xviii} This training has been found to be highly effective in assisting officers with identifying and responding to impaired driving.^{xix}

Myth: The legalization of cannabis has caused greater mental illness in other states.

Facts: There is no clear correlation (let alone proven causation) between the legalization of cannabis and overall mental illness.^{xx} In fact, the medical use of cannabis has been found to alleviate post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and other types of mental health concerns.^{xxi} The most studied mental health impacts - psychosis and schizophrenia – appear to have some relationship with cannabis use but it is unclear whether it is because cannabis use causes these symptoms or individuals with these symptoms are more likely to use cannabis.^{xxii} A growing body of evidence from those holding both perspectives in the scientific community find that cannabis use *alone* is not enough to cause psychotic incidents without other genetic or environmental factors.^{xxiii}

Myth: Cannabis is a Schedule 1 substance so that means that the scientific community believes that cannabis has no credible medical uses and is extremely dangerous to consume even once.

Facts: A growing body of research reveals that cannabis can be an effective treatment for certain medical conditions such as PTSD, chronic pain, multiple sclerosis, and epilepsy.^{xxiv} This has caused organizations like the American Medical Association, American Academy of Family Physicians, and National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine to urge the federal government to reevaluate the Schedule I status of cannabis.^{xxv}

It is also now clear that cannabis is not as dangerous as other Schedule I substances like heroin, lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), and Ecstasy. The CDC notes that it “is unlikely” that use of cannabis can result in an overdose and the organization does not even track overdose deaths for marijuana or any marijuana derivative.^{xxvi}

Myth: The push to allow adult-use cannabis is supported by only a minority of Indianans.

Facts: Recent Fox News opinion research shows that 63% of Americans and 71% of Indiana voters support allowing the adult use of cannabis.^{xxvii} Other states that have posed the legalization of cannabis to their voters in recent years have seen it pass with a significant majority. This includes states such as Arizona (60%), Maryland (67%), and Montana (57%).^{xxviii}

- ⁱ Michael T. French, et al., *Societal Costs and Outcomes of Medical and Recreational Marijuana Policies in the United States: A Systematic Review*, *Med. Care Res.* 1 (2022) (finding that body of existing research shows that adoption of adult-use cannabis laws has no impact on crime); Guangzhen Wu, et al., *The Spillover Effect of Recreational Marijuana Legalization on Crime: Evidence From Neighboring States of Colorado and Washington State*, 50 *J. Drug Issues* 392 (2020); Davide Dragone, et al., *Crime and the legalization of recreational marijuana*, 159 *J. Econ Behav. Org.* 488 (2019); Shana L Maier & Emily L. Koppenhofer, *The Implications of Marijuana Decriminalization and Legalization on Crime in the United States*, 44 *Contemp. Drug Probs.* 125 (2017); Anjelica Rice, *A Blunt Look at The Impacts Marijuana Has On Violent Crime*, University of Washington Bothell (2019), <https://digital.lib.washington.edu/researchworks/handle/1773/44495>; Angela Dills, et al., *The Effect of State Marijuana Legalizations: 2021 Update*, Cato Institute (2021), <https://www.cato.org/policy-analysis/effect-state-marijuana-legalizations-2021-update>; *But see* Guangzhen Wu, et al., *Impact of recreational marijuana legalization on crime: Evidence from Oregon*, 72 *J. Criminal Just.* 1 (2021); Ruibin Lu, et al., *The Cannabis Effect on Crime: Time-Series Analysis of Crime in Colorado and Washington State*, 38 *Just. Q.* 565 (2021).
- ⁱⁱ Jesse Burkhardt & Chris Goleman's, *The short-run effects of marijuana dispensary openings on local crime*, 63 *Annals Reg. Sci.* 163 (2019); Christopher Contreras, *A Block-Level Analysis of Medical Marijuana Dispensaries and Crime in the City of Los Angeles*, 34 *Just. Q.* 1069 (2016); *But see* William Zakrzewski, et al., *Cannabis in the capital: exploring the spatial association between medical marijuana dispensaries and crime*, 43 *J. Crime Just.* 1 (2020); Tom Y. Chang & Mireille Jacobson, *Going to pot? The impact of dispensary closures on crime*, 100 *J. Urban Econ.* 120 (2017).
- ⁱⁱⁱ Federal Bureau of Investigation, *Crime Data Explorer – Arrests Offense Counts in the United States*, U.S. Department of Justice (2022), <https://crime-data-explorer.fr.cloud.gov/pages/explorer/crime/arrest> (showing that homicides have increased by 65% in Indianapolis, 52% in Fort Wayne, and 72% in Evansville since 2019).
- ^{iv} Guangzhen Wu, et al., *Effects of recreational marijuana legalization on clearance rates for violent crimes: Evidence from Oregon*, 100 *Int'l J. Drug Pol'y* 1 (2022) (finding that recreational marijuana legalization in Oregon increased the clearance rates for violent crimes by 4.5%); David A. Makin, et al., *Marijuana Legalization and Crime Clearance Rates: Testing Proponent Assertions in Colorado and Washington State*, 22 *Police Q.* 31 (2019) (legalization of marijuana in Colorado and Washington improved clearance rates – both an immediate jump after implementation and a later upward trend towards higher rates overall).
- ^v National Institute on Drug Abuse, *Percentage of adolescents reporting drug use decreased significantly in 2021 as the COVID-19 pandemic endured*, National Institutes of Health (2021), <https://www.drugabuse.gov/news-events/news-releases/2021/12/percentage-of-adolescents-reporting-drug-use-decreased-significantly-in-2021-as-the-covid-19-pandemic-endured>.
- ^{vi} Jennifer A. Bailey, et al., *Effects of Cannabis Legalization on Adolescent Cannabis Use Across 3 Studies*, *Amer. J. Prevent. Med.* (2022); D. Mark Anderson, et al., *Association of Marijuana Legalization With Marijuana Use Among US High School Students, 1993-2019*, 4 *JAMA Net. Open* 1 (2021); Rebekah Levine Coley, et al., *Recreational Marijuana Legalization and Adolescent Use of Marijuana, Tobacco, and Alcohol*, 69 *J. Adolescent Health* 41 (2021); Greg Midgette & Peter Reuter, *Has Cannabis Use Among Youth Increased After Changes in Its Legal Status? A Commentary on Use of Monitoring the Future for Analyses of Changes in State Cannabis Laws*, 21 *Prevention Sci.* 137 (2020); Magdalena Cerda, et al., *Association Between Recreational Marijuana Legalization in the United States and Changes in Marijuana Use and Cannabis Use Disorder From 2008 to 2016*, 77 *JAMA Psychiatry* 165 (2020); Emily Kan, et al., *Marijuana Use Among Justice-Involved Youths After California Statewide Legalization, 2015–2018*, 110 *Amer. J. Public Health* 1386 (2020); Rosanna Smart & Rosalie Ricardo Pacula, *Early evidence of the impact of cannabis legalization on cannabis use, cannabis use disorder, and the use of other substances: Findings from state policy evaluations*, 45 *Amer. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse* 644 (2019); D. Mark Anderson, et al., *Association of Marijuana Laws With Teen Marijuana Use*, 173 *JAMA Pediatrics* 879 (2019); Julia A. Dilley, et al., *Prevalence of Cannabis Use in Youths After Legalization in Washington State*, 173 *JAMA Pediatrics* 192 (2019); Ashley Brooks-Russell, et al., *Adolescent Marijuana Use, Marijuana-Related Perceptions, and Use of Other Substances Before and After Initiation of Retail Marijuana Sales in Colorado (2013–2015)*, 20 *Prevention Sci.* 185 (2019); Claire E Blevins, et al., *The Implications of Cannabis Policy Changes in Washington on Adolescent Perception of Risk, Norms, Attitudes, and Substance Use*, 12 *Substance Abuse Res. Treatment* 1 (2018); *But see* Meen Hye Lee, et al., *Adolescents' Marijuana Use Following Recreational Marijuana Legalization in Alaska and Hawaii*, 34 *Asia Pacific J. Public Health* 65 (2022); Mallie J. Paschall, et al., *Recreational Marijuana Legalization and Use Among California Adolescents: Findings From a Statewide Survey*, 82 *J. Studies on Alcohol Drugs* 103 (2021); Mallie J. Paschall & Joel W. Grube, *Recreational Marijuana Availability in Oregon and Use Among Adolescents*, 58 *Amer. J. Preventive Medicine* 63 (2020); Jennifer A. Bailey, et al., *Marijuana Legalization and Youth Marijuana, Alcohol, and Cigarette Use and Norms*, 59 *Amer. J. Prev. Med.* 309 (2020); Magdalena Cerda, et al., *Association of State Recreational Marijuana Laws With Adolescent Marijuana Use*, 171 *JAMA Pediatrics* 142 (2017); Barrett Wallace Montgomery, et al., *Estimating the effects of legalizing recreational cannabis on newly incident cannabis use*, *PLOS ONE* (2022), <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0271720>; Alex Hollingsworth, et al., *Comparative*

Effects of Recreational and Medical Marijuana Laws On Drug Use Among Adults and Adolescents, SSRN (2020), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3400519; Maria Melchior, et al., *Does liberalisation of cannabis policy influence levels of use in adolescents and young adults? A systematic review and meta-analysis*, *BMJ Open* (2019), <https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/9/7/e025880>.

^{vii} States with an adult-use market currently include Washington, Colorado, Alaska, Oregon, California, Nevada, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Illinois, Montana, Vermont, Arizona, New Jersey, and New Mexico (in order of market open dates). Six more states – Connecticut, New York, Virginia, Rhode Island, Maryland, and Missouri – are currently working to implement their laws to establish an adult-use market.

^{viii} See e.g., Janet E. Joy, et al., National Academy of Sciences, *MARIJUANA AND MEDICINE: ASSESSING THE SCIENCE BASE* (1998) (“There is no conclusive evidence that the drug effects of marijuana are causally linked to the subsequent abuse of other illicit drugs.”); Andrew R Morral, et al., *Reassessing the marijuana gateway effect*, 97 *Addiction* 1493 (2002).

^{ix} Keaton Miller & Boyoung Seo, *The Effect of Cannabis Legalization on Substance Demand and Tax Revenues*, 75 *Nat'l Tax J.* 107 (2021); Collin M. Calvert & Darin Erickson, *Recreational cannabis legalization and alcohol purchasing: a difference-in-differences analysis*, 3 *J. Cannabis Res.* 1 (2021); Jeremy Mennis, et al., *Treatment admissions for opioids, cocaine, and methamphetamines among adolescents and emerging adults after legalization of recreational marijuana*, 122 *J. Substance Abuse Treatment* 1 (2021); Davide Dragone, et al., *Crime and the legalization of recreational marijuana*, 159 *J. Econ Behav. Org.* 488 (2019); Meenakshi S. Subbaraman & William C. Kerr, *Subgroup trends in alcohol and cannabis co-use and related harms during the rollout of recreational cannabis legalization in Washington state*, 75 *Int'l J. Drug. Pol'y* 1 (2020); Joseph Sabia, et al., *Is Recreational Marijuana a Gateway to Harder Drug Use and Crime?*, NBER (2021), <https://www.nber.org/papers/w29038>; But see Thanh Lu, *Marijuana legalization and household spending on food and alcohol*, 30 *Health Econ.* 1684 (2021); Seong-min Park, et al., *The Effect of Marijuana Legalization on the Trajectories of Hard Drug-Related Hospitalizations: A Growth Curve Analysis of the County-Level State Inpatient Database in Washington, 2009–2015*, 50 *J. Drug Issues* 273 (2020); Ashutosh Bhav & B. P. S. Murthi, *A Study of the Effects of Legalization of Recreational Marijuana on Sales of Cigarettes*, SSRN (2021), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3508422; See also Constanza Risso, et al., *Does cannabis complement or substitute alcohol consumption? A systematic review of human and animal studies*, 34 *J. Psychopharmacology* 938 (2020); Hollis C. Karoly, et al., *Effects of cannabis use on alcohol consumption in a sample of treatment-engaged heavy drinkers in Colorado*, 116 *Addiction* 2529 (2021).

^x Jiebing Wen, et al., *The impact of medical and recreational marijuana laws on opioid prescribing in employer-sponsored health insurance*, 30 *Health Econ.* 989 (2021); Coleman Drake, et al., *Recreational cannabis laws and opioid-related emergency department visit rates*, 30 *Health Econ.* 2595 (2021); Benjamin J. McMichael, et al., *The impact of cannabis access laws on opioid prescribing*, 69 *J. Health Econ.* 1 (2020); J.J. Alcocer, *Exploring the effect of Colorado's recreational marijuana policy on opioid overdose rates*, 185 *Public Health* 8 (2020); Nathan W. Chan, et al., *The Effects of Recreational Marijuana Legalization and Dispensing on Opioid Mortality*, 58 *Econ. Inquiry* 589 (2020); Amalie K. Kropp Lopez, et al., *Prescription Opioid Distribution after the Legalization of Recreational Marijuana in Colorado*, 17 *Int'l j. Environ. Res. Public Health* 1 (2020); Yuyan Shi, et al., *Recreational marijuana legalization and prescription opioids received by Medicaid enrollees*, 194 *Drug Alcohol Dependence* 13 (2019); Hefei Wen & Jason M. Hockenberry, *Association of Medical and Adult-Use Marijuana Laws With Opioid Prescribing for Medicaid Enrollees*, 178 *JAMA Intern. Med.* 673 (2018); Michelle N. Anyaehie, et al., *Opioid distribution trends in California post recreational marijuana legalization*, *medRxiv* (2021), <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.02.20.21252025v2>; Isabella Kathleen MacMillan & Kevin M. Gorey, *Cannabis- Based Reduction in Opioid-Related Harms: Population-Based Observational Meta Analysis*, *Research Square* (2020), <https://assets.researchsquare.com/files/rs-25299/v1/4becba4c-a2ce-49e1-9d06-ca589f2103db.pdf?c=1631833668>; But see Lynn M. Neilson, et al., *Impact of Marijuana Legalization on Opioid Utilization in Patients Diagnosed with Pain*, 36 *J. Gen. Internal Med.* 3417 (2021); Luis E. Segura, *Association of US Medical Marijuana Laws With Nonmedical Prescription Opioid Use and Prescription Opioid Use Disorder*, 2 *JAMA Netw. Open* 1 (2019); See also Ashley Bradford & David Bradford, *The Impact of Medical Cannabis Legalization on Prescription Medication Use and Costs under Medicare Part D*, 61 *J. Law Econ.* 461 (2018).

^{xi} Ioana Popovici & Michael French, *Cannabis Use, Employment, and Income: Fixed-effects Analysis of Panel Data*, 41 *J. Behav. Health Serv. Res.* 185 (2014); Avinandan Chakraborty, et al., *The Effects of Recreational Cannabis Access on the Labor Market: Evidence from Colorado*, IDEAS (2020), <https://ideas.repec.org/p/cpl/wpaper/2001.html>.

^{xii} Keshar M. Ghimire & Johanna Catherine Maclean, *Medical marijuana and workers' compensation claiming*, 29 *Health Econ.* 1495 (2020); Rahi Abouk, et al., *Does Marijuana Legalization Affect Work Capacity? Evidence from Workers' Compensation Benefits*, National Bureau of Economic Research (2021), https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w28471/w28471.pdf.

^{xiii} David Downs, et al., *Cannabis Harvest Report 2022: America's 6th largest crop adds four new states*, Leafly (2022), <https://www.leafly.com/news/industry/how-much-weed-grown-us-2022>.

^{xiv} Bruce Barcott & Beau Whitney., *Jobs Report 2022*, Leafly (2022), <https://www.leafly.com/news/industry/cannabis-jobs-report>.

^{xv} Charles M. Farmer, et al., *Changes in Traffic Crash Rates After Legalization of Marijuana: Results by Crash Severity*, 83 *Alcohol Drugs* 461 (2022); Christian Gunadi, *Does expanding access to cannabis affect traffic crashes? County-level evidence from recreational marijuana dispensary sales in Colorado*, 31 *Health Econ.* 2244 (2022); Care Evelyn

Vingilis, et al., *Systematic review of the effects of cannabis retail outlets on traffic collisions, fatalities and other traffic-related outcomes*, 22 *J. Transport Health* 1 (2021); Jayson D. Aydelotte, et al., *Fatal crashes in the 5 years after recreational marijuana legalization in Colorado and Washington*, 132 *Accident Anal. Prevent.* 1 (2019); Tyler J. Lane & Wayne Hall, *Traffic fatalities within US states that have legalized recreational cannabis sales and their neighbours*, 114 *Addiction* 847 (2019); Jaeyoung Lee, et al., *Investigation of associations between marijuana law changes and marijuana-involved fatal traffic crashes: A state-level analysis*, 10 *J. Transp. Health* 194 (2018); Raha Hamzeie, et al., *State-Level Comparison of Traffic Fatality Data in Consideration of Marijuana Laws*, 2660 *J. Trans. Res. Board* 78 (2017); Johanna Marie Borst, et al., *Driving under the influence: a multi-center evaluation of vehicular crashes in the era of cannabis legalization*, *Trauma Surgery Acute Care* (2021), <https://tsaco.bmj.com/content/6/1/e000736>; Sarah B. Windle, et al., *Association between legalization of recreational cannabis and fatal motor vehicle collisions in the United States: an ecologic study*, *CMAJ OPEN* (2021), <https://www.cmajopen.ca/content/9/1/E233.short>; Julian Santaella-Tenorio, et al., *Association of Recreational Cannabis Laws in Colorado and Washington State With Changes in Traffic Fatalities, 2005-2017*, *JAMA Intern. Med.* (2020), <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/article-abstract/2767647>; Russell S. Kamer, et al., *Change in Traffic Fatality Rates in the First 4 States to Legalize Recreational Marijuana*, *JAMA Internal Medicine* (2020), <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/article-abstract/2767643>; Jacob Vogler, *State Marijuana Policies and Vehicle Fatalities*, SSRN (2017), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3013701.

^{xvi} See e.g. Jennifer Cook Middleton, et al., *Effectiveness of Policies Maintaining or Restricting Days of Alcohol Sales on Excessive Alcohol Consumption and Related Harms*, 39 *Amer. J. Prevent. Med.* 575 (2010); Garnett P. McMillan & Sandra Lapham, *Effectiveness of Bans and Laws in Reducing Traffic Deaths*, 96 *Amer. J. Public Health* 1944 (2006).

^{xvii} Marco H. Benedetti, et al., *Self-reported driving after marijuana use in association with medical and recreational marijuana policies*, 92 *Int'l J. Drug Pol'y* 1 (2021); Jim Dewey, et al., *State Marijuana Laws and Traffic Fatalities*, 51 *Rev. Region. Stud.* 246 (2021); Taylor Lensch, et al., *Cannabis use and driving under the influence: Behaviors and attitudes by state-level legal sale of recreational cannabis*, 141 *Prevent. Med.* 1 (2020); Collin Calvert & Darin Erickson, *An examination of relationships between cannabis legalization and fatal motor vehicle and pedestrian-involved crashes*, 21 *Traffic Injury Prev.* 521 (2020); Gregory Leung & Jessica Dutra, *Legal Access to Marijuana and Motor Vehicle Fatalities in the United States, 1990–2019*, SSRN (2021), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3982642; Benjamin Hansen, et al., *Early Evidence on Recreational Marijuana Legalization and Traffic Fatalities*, National Bureau of Economic Research (2018), <https://www.nber.org/papers/w24417> (finding that both states legalizing adult-use cannabis and those that did not saw similar increases in traffic fatalities); See also Brian C Tefft & Lindsay S Arnold, *Estimating Cannabis Involvement in Fatal Crashes in Washington State Before and After the Legalization of Recreational Cannabis Consumption Using Multiple Imputation of Missing Values* *Get access Arrow*, 190 *Amer. J. Epidemiology* 2582 (2021).

Unlike alcohol, there is a lack of clear association between any detected level of THC, the amount consumed, and someone's level of impairment and technologies are just now being tested as a "breathalyzer" for cannabis. See Michael W. DeGregorio, et al., *A comprehensive breath test that confirms recent use of inhaled cannabis within the impairment window*, 11 *Scientific Reports* 1 (2021) (discussing the difficulty of identifying cannabis impairment, failures of a breath only test as an effective testing mechanism, and potential promise of a combined breath and blood test); Brett C. Ginsburg, *Strengths and limitations of two cannabis-impaired driving detection methods: a review of the literature*, 45 *Amer. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse* 610 (2019); Teri Moore & Adrian Moore, *A Common Sense Approach to Marijuana-Impaired Driving*, Reason Foundation (2019), <https://reason.org/policy-study/a-common-sense-approach-to-marijuana-impaired-driving/>.

^{xviii} The Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) program is coordinated by the International Association of Chiefs of Police and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. See International Association of Chiefs of Police, *Drug Recognition Experts (DREs)*, International Association of Chiefs of Police (2021), <https://www.theiacp.org/drug-recognition-experts-dres>.

^{xix} Eve Paquette & Joanie Prince, *The effectiveness of simulation in drug recognition expert training: Quebec hybrid training model*, 22 *Police Prac. Res.* 510 (2021) (finding between 90-92% accuracy based on training method); Rebecca L. Hartman, et al., *Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) examination characteristics of cannabis impairment*, 92 *Accident Anal. Prevent.* 219 (2016); Edna Schechtman & David Shinar, *Modeling drug detection and diagnosis with the 'drug evaluation and classification program'*, 37 *Accident Anal. Prevent.* 852 (2005); Stephen J. Heishman, et al., *Laboratory Validation Study of Drug Evaluation and Classification Program: Ethanol, Cocaine, and Marijuana*, 20 *J. Analytical Toxicology* 468 (1996); National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, *Field Evaluation of the Los Angeles Police Department Drug Detection Procedure*, U.S. Department of Transportation (1986), <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/field-evaluation-los-angeles-police-department-drug-detection> (finding 94% accuracy).

^{xx} Jacob James Rich et al., *Effect of Cannabis Liberalization on Suicide and Mental Illness Following Recreational Access: A State-Level Longitudinal Analysis in the USA*, medRxiv (2022), <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.09.25.20201848v3.full>; Daniel Borbely, et al., *Marijuana Legalization and Mental Health*, Institute of Labor Economics (2022), <https://docs.iza.org/dp15729.pdf>.

-
- ^{xxi} C. Hindocha, et al., *The Effectiveness of Cannabinoids in the Treatment of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD): A Systematic Review*, 16 *J. Dual Diagnosis* 120 (2020); Zach Walsh et al., *Medical Cannabis and Mental Health: A Guided Systematic Review*, 51 *Clinical Psychol. Rev.* 15 (2017); National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2017), <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/24625/the-health-effects-of-cannabis-and-cannabinoids-the-current-state>;
- ^{xxii} George Sam Wang, et al., *Impact of cannabis legalization on healthcare utilization for psychosis and schizophrenia in Colorado*, 104 *Int'l J. Drug Pol'y* 1 (2022); Mark Weiser & Shlomo Noy, *Interpreting the association between cannabis use and increased risk for schizophrenia*, 7 *Dialogues Clinical Neuroscience* 81 (2005).
- ^{xxiii} Ian Hamilton, *Cannabis, psychosis and schizophrenia: unravelling a complex interaction*, 112 *Addiction* 1653 (2017); Charles Ksir & Carl Hart, *Cannabis and Psychosis: a Critical Overview of the Relationship*, 18 *Current Psychiatry R.* 12 (2016); Weiser, *supra* note xxii.
- ^{xxiv} Hindocha, *supra* note xxi; Eric P. Baron, *Medicinal Properties of Cannabinoids, Terpenes, and Flavonoids in Cannabis, and Benefits in Migraine, Headache, and Pain: An Update on Current Evidence and Cannabis Science*, 58 *Headache* 1139 (2018); Emily Stockings, et al., *Evidence for cannabis and cannabinoids for epilepsy: a systematic review of controlled and observational evidence*, 89 *J. Neurology Neurosurgery Psychiatry* 741 (2018); Brendan Saloner, et al., *A Public Health Strategy for the Opioid Crisis*, 133 *Pub. Health Rep.* 24S (2018); National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2017), <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/24625/the-health-effects-of-cannabis-and-cannabinoids-the-current-state>;
- ^{xxv} American Medical Association, *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research H-95.952*, American Medical Association (2022), <https://policysearch.ama-assn.org/policyfinder/detail/H-95.952?uri=%2FAMADoc%2FHOD.xml-o-5331.xml>; American Academy of Family Physicians, *Marijuana and Cannabinoids: Health, Research and Regulatory Considerations (Position Paper)*, American Academy of Family Physicians (2019), <https://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/marijuana-position-paper.html>; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2017), <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/24625/the-health-effects-of-cannabis-and-cannabinoids-the-current-state>.
- ^{xxvi} Centers for Disease Control (2021), *supra* note **Error! Bookmark not defined.**; Centers for Disease Control, *Is it possible to “overdose” or have a “bad reaction” to marijuana?*, Centers for Disease Control (2018), <https://www.cdc.gov/marijuana/faqs.htm>.
- ^{xxvii} Fox News, *Democracy 2022: Fox News Voter Analysis*, Fox News (2022), <https://www.foxnews.com/elections/2022/midterm-results/voter-analysis?year=2022&state=US>.
- ^{xxviii} Maryland State Board of Elections, *Unofficial 2022 Gubernatorial General Election Results for All State Questions*, Maryland State Board of Elections (2022), https://elections.maryland.gov/elections/2022/general_results/gen_qresults_2022_1_00_ALL.html; Secretary of States, *Ballot Measure: Proposition 207*, State of Arizona (2020), <https://results.arizona.vote/#/ballotmeasure/18/o>; Secretary of State, *2020 Statewide General Election Canvass*, State of Montana (2020), available at <https://sosmt.gov/elections/results/>.