
2021 LEGISLATIVE SCORECARD





ABLE F CONTENTS
5 LETTER FROM THE STATE DIRECTOR
6 EXPLAINING THE PROCESS
8
O SENATE VOTES
2 HOUSE VOTES



SCORING METHODOLOGY

- ✓ = Voted with AFP-West Virginia's position
- **★** = Voted against AFP-West Virginia's position
- NA = Absent

FELLOW MOUNTAINEERS,

Thank you for your interest in our 2021 Americans for Prosperity-West Virginia Legislative Key Vote Score Card. We hope citizens will use this document to better understand where their lawmakers stood on key issues, helping to ensure that government is transparent and accountable to the people.

For too long, West Virginians have been held back from reaching their full potential because of top-down ideas that created too many barriers to opportunity in the Mountain State. That's why our organization and activists across the state are working every day to partner with lawmakers-regardless of party—to advance bottom-up, proven policy solutions to some of our state's most pressing issues.

Thankfully, this legislative session represents a heartening and historic example of the kind of truly transformational change that can be achieved when citizens come together to encourage principled policymakers to be bold in their aspirations for making our state a better place to live, work and raise a family. As you will see, legislative leaders set aside politics as usual in order to step up and courageously meet the mandate from the grassroots to stop tinkering with the margins by enacting some of the most substantial policy victories that will be passed in any state this year.

Through partnership with lawmakers, robust coalition building, and continuous grassroots engagement with folks just like you, we're succeeding at tackling some of our state's biggest problems by persuading lawmakers to be bold in unleashing the amazing potential of the Mountain State. Our 2021 Legislative Key Vote Scorecard highlights how your lawmakers stood on critical policies from our Pathway to Prosperity Legislative Agenda, in order to help you hold them accountable for their positions, helping to accomplish lasting policy changes now and in the future.

Sincerely,

JASON HUFFMAN West Virginia State Director -Americans for Prosperity



EXPLAINING THE PROCESS

Every year, AFP-WV releases an updated version of our Path to Prosperity Legislative Agenda. The Path to Prosperity is a comprehensive policy agenda that is meant to act as a roadmap for policymakers. It embodies the bottom-up solutions that will change the state's status quo and help our fellow Mountaineers reach their full potential.

Launched in 2019, the policy agenda seeks to elevate and amplify voices of West Virginians across the state who want to remove the barriers that are holding them back.

We work with legislative leaders of both parties to enact the much-needed reforms we outline in the Path to Prosperity. When one of these polices is up for a vote in committee or on the floor, we send a Key Vote Alert to every member of the legislature indicating our support for the bill being decided upon. In some instances, we ask lawmakers to vote against legislation that will be detrimental to creating the conditions for a new and stronger West Virginia in which everyone can reach their full potential.

We advocate for policies during the session through the use of our Key Vote Alerts and by connecting citizens with their lawmakers on the issues at hand. We monitor how lawmakers vote, and we use our annual scorecard to let folks know how their elected officials voted on some of the most critical issues from each legislative session. This helps to increase government transparency, get citizens engaged in the legislative process, and to inform lawmakers on the issues.



EDUCATIONAL FREEDOM

THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Ellington Co-Sponsors: Higginbotham, Summers, Hanna, Pinson, Wamsley, Kimble, Jeffries, D., Espinosa, Clark, Horst AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Governor Signed 3/27/2021 House RCS# 86 | Senate RCS# 144

With the adoption of this law, our state now stands as a model for the entire nation when it comes to innovation and future of K-12 education. Everyone agrees that every student is special and unique, with their own blend of talents, gifts, and aptitudes. And, that the purpose of education is not to force students to conform or become better test takers, but to enable each student to have the skills and understanding to unleash their full potential, and embark on a pathway to living a fulfilled and purposeful life. This is why West Virginia parents and students are eager for more opportunity in education, and for solutions that respect the dignity of each student and avails to him or her the necessary freedom to receive the education that's right for them.

The Hope Scholarship is the most robustly eligible education savings account in the nation. This commonsense policy represents the best, most innovative vehicle for providing Mountaineers the educational freedom and flexibility they deserve. Families who choose to enroll in an ESA will receive one hundred percent of the state tax dollars—currently around \$4,600 annually allocated to their child in order to customize their student's education. These funds may only be used to purchase educational services such as: Ongoing

services provided by a public school district; tuition and/or fees at non-public schools; courses that lead to trades credential; nonpublic online learning programs; alternative education programs; after-school or summer education programs; tutoring services; educational therapy services; fees for transportation for students to travel to and from an education service providers; and any other qualified expenses as approved by the Hope Scholarship Board, led by the State Treasurer.

THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 HOUSE **FLOOR AMENDMENT ELLINGTON 3-3 #1**

Lead Sponsor: Del. Ellington AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Amendment Adopted House RCS# 80

This amendment reversed changes made by the House Finance Committee which would have arbitrarily limited the value of each Hope Scholarship Account, restoring Hope Scholarship funding to one hundred percent of the statewide net state aid average per student.



THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT ZUKOFF AND BOGGS 3-4

Lead Sponsor: Zukoff Co-Sponsors: Boggs AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 81

This amendment would have continued to contribute state tax dollars to resident school districts for Hope Scholarship students who are no longer attending traditional public school, in essence funding schools for students whom they are no longer tasked with educating.

THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT HORNBUCKLE AND **BOGGS 3-4**

Lead Sponsor: Hornbuckle Co-Sponsors: Boggs AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 82

This amendment would have arbitrarily limited the eligibility of students for the Hope Scholarship based on their parents income, unnecessarily punishing children This amendment would have created unnecessary by denying them the ability to enroll in this one of a and duplicative red tape for families seeking the right education for their child through the Hope Scholarship kind program. Limiting an ESA program enrollment by arbitrary factors such as income or student ability by requiring that they disclose personal financial disregards the need for every family to have access to the information that is not related to expenses made educational flexibility they deserve. Limiting enrollment through their Hope Scholarship. will lead to disenfranchisement and frustration for

many parents and greatly limit the potential for the program to make sure all students have access to an individualized education.

THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 HOUSE **FLOOR AMENDMENT BOGGS AND ROWE 3-4**

Lead Sponsor: Boggs Co-Sponsors: Rowe AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 83

This amendment would have required that 5% of all Hope Scholarships are audited on an annual basis. The amendment was duplicative because the legislation already provided for regular auditing of the program and, therefore, was not needed.

THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 HOUSE **FLOOR AMENDMENT ROWE 3-4**

Lead Sponsor: Rowe AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 84

KEY VOTES | 2021 REGULAR SESSION

MARCH 1, 2021 - APRIL 10, 2021

THE HOPE SCHOLARSHIP | HB 2013 HOUSE **FLOOR AMENDMENT DOYLE ET AL 3-4**

Lead Sponsor: Doyle Co-Sponsors: Thompson, Rowe, Walker, Pushkin AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 85

This amendment would have created protected classes that are not currently included in either federal or state law. Policymakers rightly decided that this bill was not a germane vehicle to make such changes, as it did not contemplate the West Virginia Human Rights Act. Further, the amendment misunderstands HB 2013's central intention which is to fund all students directlyinstead of simply funding one institution or anotherby allowing families to use their education tax dollars as they best see fit, which it accomplishes.

FREE SPEECH

THE FORMING OPEN AND ROBUST UNIVERSITY MINDS (FORUM) ACT | SB 657 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Phillips Co-Sponsors: Azinger, Rucker, Smith, Sypolt, Tarr, Woodrum, Roberts, Karnes, Grady, Maynard AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 4/26/2021 House RCS# 568 | Senate RCS# 250

Across the Nation, the number of campus speech incidents only continues to increase. From speakers being disinvited or students being restricted to speaking in "free speech zones," a mishmash of campus policies

restrict the First Amendment rights of students. SB 657, the FORUM Act solves this problem by codifying into state law the rights of college students. The FORUM Act will protect their constitutional rights and ensure West Virginia remains a state committed to free speech.

JUDICIAL REFORM

WV APPELLATE REORGANIZATION ACT OF 2021 | SB 275 PASSAGE

Sponsors at the request of the executive: Blair and Baldwin AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 4/8/2021 House RCS# 332 | Senate RCS# 293

Before SB 275 was passed into law, all of our state's administrative law decisions were handled by the Kanawha County Circuit. In practice this means that laws adopted through the thoughtful and deliberative actions of the legislature—the duly elected representatives of all the people of this state—were able to be totally nullified by a single judge elected in a single jurisdiction. This failure of government structure is evidenced by way of the Kanawha Circuit's decisions in recent years that have abundantly demonstrated that, when it comes to questions of administrative law, certain actions of this court have existed more as wrongheaded, partisan judicial activism rather than good faith decisions based on sound legal reasoning.

Thankfully, the Intermediate Court of Appeals will assume the duties of presiding over questions of administrative law, ending this inequitable paradigm moving forward. With judges elected by voters from every corner of this state—not a single jurisdiction—an intermediate court will

provide more robust accountability, and institute a more ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY representative and appropriate vehicle for judicial review of the constitutionality of actions taken by this legislature. WV EMPLOYMENT LAW WORKER By adopting Senate Bill 275, lawmakers have taken a huge step towards ending the perception of a rigged "good old Sponsors at the request of the executive: Blair and Baldwin boy system" that has plagued the public's confidence in our AFP's Position: Support key institutions long enough and give citizens the ability to Outcome: Signed by Governor 3/19/2021 hold judges accountable who act outside of the proper role House RCS# 112 | Senate RCS# 16 of the court by legislating from the bench.

WV APPELLATE REORGANIZATION ACT OF 2021 | SB 275 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT **SKAFF ET AL 3-29**

Lead Sponsor: Skaff Co-Sponsors: Evans, Fleischauer, Barach, Walker, Pushkin, Zukoff, Brown, Lovejoy AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 318

This amendment represents nothing more than a thinly SB 272 will not only help West Virginia from veiled attempt to delay and obstruct the implementation imposing the same types of economic restrictions of the new Intermediate Court under the false pretense that are now causing significant job losses in of the expansion of family drug treatment courts. states like California, but the clearer criteria also will make it easier for independent contractors, employees, and those businesses who use their services to confidently comply with West Virginia law as they try to lead productive lives contributing to West Virginia's economy.



CLASSIFICATION ACT | SB 272 PASSAGE

Before the passage of SB 272, West Virginia utilized what is known as an "ABC test" to determine whether workers are employees or independent contractors-a restrictive test also used in states such as California and Massachusetts where meeting any factor in the test forces contractors to be reclassified as employees. Unlike common law tests or the criteria provided by SB 272, ABC tests make it very difficult for people to remain self-employed as independent contractors even when they strongly prefer this flexible arrangement and control over their own work.

KEY VOTES | 2021 REGULAR SESSION

MARCH 1, 2021 - APRIL 10, 2021

UNIVERSAL RECOGNITION OF LICENSURE | HB 2007 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Espinosa Co-Sponsors: Foster, Horst, Hamrick, Howell, Miller, Steele, Holstein, Clark, Keaton, Burkhammer AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Passed House House RCS# 32 | Senate RCS# N/A

Universal recognition is a pro-growth policy which recognizes out-of-state occupational licenses based on the training or testing requirements a licensed applicant has already completed. It does not delicense any profession; rather, it eliminates costly, time-intensive, and often unnecessary barriers to work. While often well-intentioned, today many government occupational licensing requirements are anti-competitive, needlessly burdensome, and ineffective at addressing public health and safety concerns. As West Virginia leaders consider ways to lower licensing barriers within our borders as well, this universal recognition legislation would provide a significant improvement over the status quo, allowing working professionals to move to West Virginia and begin providing valuable services to our state without needless barriers.

UNIVERSAL RECOGNITION OF LICENSURE | HB 2007 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT DISERIO 2-17 #1

Lead Sponsor: Diserio AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 25

This set of amendment would have created unnecessary or duplicative red tape for applicants seeking licensure under the provisions of HB 2007 in order to stifle market competition in favor of well-established businesses at the expense of folks seeking to make West Virginia their home under the provisions of HB 2007.

UNIVERSAL RECOGNITION OF LICENSURE | HB 2007 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT DISERIO 2-17 #2

Lead Sponsor: Diserio AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 26

UNIVERSAL RECOGNITION OF LICENSURE | HB 2007 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT DISERIO 2-17 #3

Lead Sponsor: Diserio AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 27

UNIVERSAL RECOGNITION OF LICENSURE | HB 2007 HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENT PUSHKIN AND FLEISCHAUER 2-17

Lead Sponsor: Pushkin Co-Sponsors: Fleischauer AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Amendment Rejected House RCS# 28

This amendment would excluded Massage Therapists from the provisions of HB 2007.

PAYCHECK PROTECTION | HB 2009 PASSAGE


Lead Sponsor: Foster Co-Sponsors: Burkhammer, Kimes, Higginbotham, Forsht, Keaton, Espinosa, Pritt AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 3/24/2021 House RCS# 211 | Senate RCS# 132

This legislation protects West Virginia workers by ensuring that employers must have written, annual consent from their employees in order to divert or withhold any portion of an individual employee's paycheck for use in political purposes.

COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSURE THROUGH LEAST RESTRICTIVE MEANS | SB 472 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Maynard AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 4/19/2021 House RCS# 412 | Senate RCS# 301

While often well-intentioned, today many government House RCS# 584 | Senate RCS# 458 occupational licensing requirements are anticompetitive, needlessly burdensome, and ineffective at Just like individual households across the state, addressing public health and safety concerns. SB 472 lawmakers chose to thoughtfully reduce spending in order to safeguard taxpayers by making government establishes a sunrise review of all proposed occupational licensing regulations and a recurring sunset review of live within its means. Reducing spending on items all existing occupational licensing regulations to help that are either outside the proper role of government ensure that the least restrictive regulation consistent or do not represent a genuine priority remain integral with public health and safety is utilized. West Virginians goals of reducing taxpayers' burden, fostering the deserve the dignity of personal choice as consumers kind of pro-growth environment that our state needs, and ensuring that the funding priorities we should and the ability to support their families without undue government burdens. prioritize remain solvent in the long term.



THE BUDGET BILL | HB 2022 PASSAGE

Sponsors at the request of the executive: Hanshaw and Skaff AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 4/15/2021 House RCS# 584 | Senate RCS# 458

REIMAGINING HEALTH CARE

EXEMPTING HOSPITAL SERVICES FROM CERTIFICATE OF NEED | HB 2264 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Pack, J. Co-Sponsors: Rohrbach, Summers, Rowan, Longanacre, Ward, G., Forsht, Smith AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Passed House House RCS# 19 | Senate RCS# N/A

HB 2264 would have empowered hospitals throughout the state to supply new services, including emergency care, without going through the state's overly burdensome Certificate of Need (CON) process. This would have dramatically expand access to lifesaving care to patients in need. Governors around the country suspended CON requirements to allow hospitals to quickly add new services to care for patients with COVID-19. These swift emergency actions saved countless lives. This legislation would have ensure hospitals in West Virginia have this crucial flexibility to add additional services on a permanent basis.

EXPANDING ACCESS TO LIFE SAVING TELEHEALTH SERVICES | HB 2024 PASSAGE

Sponsors at the request of the executive: Hanshaw, Skaff AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 4/9/2021 House RCS# 306 | Senate RCS# 238

HB 2024 empowers highly qualified health care providers licensed in other states to deliver telehealth services to West Virginia residents. This will dramatically expand access to important health services for families and communities. Thanks to an executive order from Governor Justice last year, health professionals from outside of West Virginia were already delivering lifesaving care throughout the state to combat COVID-19. This legislation will ensure patients can continue to access care across state lines by making that executive order permanent.



EXPANDING SCOPE OF PRACTICE FOR **CERTIFIED REGISTERED NURSE ANESTHETISTS** | HB 2674 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Tully Co-Sponsors: Summers, Bates AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Passed House House RCS# 119 | Senate RCS# N/A

House bill 2674 would have empowered highly qualified Lead Sponsor: Westfall nurse anesthetists to deliver critically important services Co-Sponsors: Summers, Jennings, Tully, Bates, Pack, L. without duplicative red tape standing in the way. This AFP's Position: Support would have dramatically expand access to health care Outcome: Governor Signed 4/19/2021 for families and communities. Thanks to the emergency House RCS# 159 | Senate RCS# 312 actions taken by Governor Justice, nurse anesthetists were already delivering lifesaving care throughout the state to This bill allows more health care providers in West combat COVID-19. This legislation would have allowed these well-trained professionals to continue to deliver care to underserved communities on a permanent basis.

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS | SB 714 PASSAGE

Woodrum, Stollings, Plymale, Roberts, Lindsay, Unger AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Governor Signed 4/21/2021 House RCS# 527 | Senate RCS# 401

Virginia to deliver health care through a monthly subscription payment model, often called Direct Medical Care. Empowering health care providers to deliver Direct Medical Care is a crucial element of comprehensive health care reforms that will improve patient access to high-quality health care. Since Direct **EXPANDING THE SCOPE OF PRACTICE FOR** Medical Care practices spend significantly less on overhead expenses, they can afford to work with smaller Lead Sponsor: Takubo patient panels and spend more time with each patient. Co-Sponsors: Maroney, Grady, Weld, Rucker, Azinger, Stover, Direct Medical Care physicians on average spend more than four times as much time with their patients as traditional fee-for-service physicians. Increasing access to Direct Medical Care will also lower health care costs. A Direct Medical Care program offered to SB 714 empowers highly qualified physician assistants to public employees in North Carolina reduced out-ofpocket costs by 46 percent and reduced prescription deliver critically important services without duplicative red tape standing in the way. This law will expand access to drug spending by 36 percent compared to workers with health care for families and communities. Thanks to the traditional physicians. Overall, this translated into a 23 emergency actions taken by Governor Justice, physician percent reduction in overall health care spending.

assistants were already delivering lifesaving care throughout the state to combat COVID-19. This legislation ensures these well-trained professionals can continue to deliver care to underserved communities on a permanent basis.

EXPAND DIRECT HEALTH CARE AGREEMENTS BEYOND PRIMARY CARE TO INCLUDE MORE MEDICAL CARE SERVICES | HB 2877

TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION

REMOVING REGULATORY BARRIERS TO BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT | HB 2002 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Linville Co-Sponsors: Capito, Holstein, Ferrell, Miller, Riley, Barnhart, Sypolt, Statler, Rowan, Reed AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 04/28/2021 House RCS# 630 | Senate RCS# 435

As COVID has demonstrated, closing the digital divide and connecting West Virginians to the internet is essential for our state's continued success. AFP-WV supported HB 2002's passage because it includes several important steps to increasing broadband access across the state in a fiscally responsible fashion. Those changes include regulatory reforms that will make it easier to deploy internet access and simplify the process for determining which areas of the state are most in need of internet connectivity. While more work in this area is needed, this comprehensive legislation builds on previous positive steps taken by the legislature.

MODERNIZING WV'S DRONE REGULATIONS **HB 2726 PASSAGE**

Lead Sponsor: Capito Co-Sponsors: Rowe, Pack, L. AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Passed House House RCS# 296 | Senate RCS# N/A

This bill would have permitted the use of air space above public roads for the safe operation of unmanned aircraft. AFP-WV encourages innovation and new ideas which empower all people to experience the power of technology in their everyday lives. HB 2726 would have paved the way for the advancement of new technologies that could put our state on the cutting edge of commerce while ensuring that the rights of private landowner's are protected. This bill takes into consideration the need for thoughtful safety regulations while allowing West Virginia to work with new technology that can make a meaningful difference in people's lives.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM

CREATING MINIMUM STATUTORY STANDARDS FOR LAW-ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS | HB 2891 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Kelly, D. Co-Sponsors: Ward, B., Hott, Capito, Steele, Pinson, Queen, Westfall, Young, Pack, L. AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Signed by Governor 04/28/2021 House RCS# 647 | Senate RCS# 473


While well intentioned, this bill's attempt to streamline the state's criminal code did so in a way that would have been significantly detrimental towards progress we've made together This bill will strengthen the recruitment process for all officers by ensuring that every agency completes a proper in reforming our justice system. In too many instances background investigation into potential police recruits HB 2017 would have increased penalties that are already and established minimum standards for individuals sufficiently punitive, including for many low-level crimes, and would have been especially financially devastating for counties given the force of law to take away our liberty. It will that are already struggling to keep up with the ever-increasing also ensure the Law-Enforcement Professional Standards costs of incarceration due to an unnecessary increase in overall Subcommittee is provided with adequate information incarceration. Research has repeatedly shown that longer and an appropriate level of discretion to hold officers prison sentences do not make us safer. This was the wrong accountable when they engage in serious misconduct or criminal activity. AFP-WV supported this bill because approach to sentencing reform in West Virginia. Thankfully, it achieved a proper balance of ensuring increased the State Senate changed the bill to simply refer its provisions to the State Sentencing Commission, which is tasked with transparency and accountability, respecting the due process rights of officers, and rebuilding trust between law reviewing our criminal sentencing structure and providing enforcement and their communities. recommendations to the legislature.



REWRITING THE CRIMINAL CODE | HB 2017 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Steele

Co-Sponsors: Kelly, D., Ward, B., Miller, Ward, G., Haynes, Westfall, Higginbotham, Smith, Reynolds AFP's Position: Oppose House Version, Support Senate Version Outcome: Did not complete Action House RCS# 386 | Senate RCS# 375



AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION TO ESTABLISH A REENTRY AND TRANSITIONAL HOUSING PROGRAM | HB 3304 PASSAGE

Lead Sponsor: Capito Co-Sponsors: Puskin, Pack, L., Lovejoy, Queen, Fast, Westfall, Fluharty, Bruce, Pinson, Ward, B. AFP's Position: Support Outcome: Governor Signed 4/26/2021 House RCS# 613 | Senate RRCS# 429

Many individuals exiting our prisons face difficulty going from a complete lack of liberty and responsibility within the prison walls to almost full autonomy in our communities. HB 3304 authorizes the Division of Corrections and Rehabilitation to establish a Reentry and Transitional Housing Program. This will assist those exiting West Virginia's prisons in rejoining their communities successfully by providing them with both transitional time and assistance and improve community safety by reducing recidivism.

RELATING TO EXTENDED SUPERVISION FOR CERTAIN DRUG OFFENDERS | HB 2257

Lead Sponsor: Steele Co-Sponsors: Westfall, Pinson, Pack, L. AFP's Position: Oppose Outcome: Did not complete action House RCS# 90 | Senate RCS# N/A

HB 2257 would have allowed judges to impose up to 10 additional years of community supervision after an individual had finished serving their full sentence in prison or on probation for drug offenses. This bill would have severely distanced us from the goal of being smart on crime while wasting precious taxpayer resources. HB 2257 failed to consider the body of research showing that, after 1-2 years of community supervision, this form of accountability is no longer effective at preventing criminal activity. In fact, according to data from Pew Charitable Trusts, "research has found that longer terms of probation ... are more likely than shorter terms to result in technical violations, which studies have shown are a key driver of state incarceration rates and costs." West Virginia should be working to reduce barriers for the formerly incarcerated who want to become contributing members of society again instead of creating more hurdles to their successful reentry.

SENATE VOTES

	Azinger, Mike	Baldwin, Stephen	Beach, Robert	Blair, Craig	Boley, Donna	Caputo, Mike	Clements, Charles	Grady, Amy	Hamilton, Bill	Ihlenfeld, William	Jeffries, Glenn	Karnes, Robert	Lindsay, Richard	Maroney, Mike	Martin, Patrick	Maynard, Mark	Nelson, Eric
DISTRICT	3	10	13	15	3	13	2	4	11	1	8	11	8	2	12	6	17
HB 2013 RCS# 144	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	×
SB 657 RCS# 250	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 293	~	×	NA	~	~	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	×	~	~
SB 272 RCS# 16	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2002 RCS# 435	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 473	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~
HB 2009 RCS# 132	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2017 RCS# 375	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2024 RCS# 238	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 472 RCS# 301	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 3304 RCS# 429	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 CS# 312	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 401	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 458	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~

	Phillips, Rupie	Plymale, Robert	Roberts, Rollan	Romano, Mike	Rucker, Patricia	Smith, Randy	Stollings, Ron	Stover, David	Swope, Chandler	Sypolt, Dave	Takubo, Tom	Tarr, Eric	Trump, Charles	Unger, John	Weld, Ryan	Woelfel, Mike	Woodrum, Jack
DISTRICT	7	5	9	12	16	14	7	9	6	14	17	4	15	16	1	5	10
HB 2013 RCS# 144	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	NA	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~
SB 657 RCS# 250	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 293	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~
SB 272 RCS# 16	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~
HB 2002 RCS# 435	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 473	~	~	~	~	~	~	V	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2009 RCS# 132	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	NA	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~
HB 2017 RCS# 375	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2024 RCS# 238	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 472 RCS# 301	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 3304 RCS# 429	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 CS# 312	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 401	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 458	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~

HOUSE VOTES

	Anderson, William	Barach, Jim	Barnhart, Trenton	Barrett, Jason	Bates, Mick	Boggs, Brent	Booth, Josh	Bridges, Jordan	Brown, Nathan	Bruce, Barry	Burkhammer, Adam	Capito, Moore	Clark, Wayne	Conley, Roger	Cooper, Roy	Criss, Vernon	Dean, Mark
DISTRICT	8	36	7	61	30	34	19	24	20	42	46	35	65	10	28	10	21
HB 2013 RCS# 86	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 80	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 81	~	×	~	×	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 82	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 83	~	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 84	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 85	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~
SB 657 RCS# 568	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 322	×	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	~	×	~	×	×
SB 275 RCS# 318	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 272 RCS# 112	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2002 RCS# 630	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 647	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 32	~	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 25	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	NA	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 26	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 27	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 28	~	×	~	×	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2009 RCS# 211	~	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	×
HB 2264 RCS# 19	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	NA	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2726 RCS# 296	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2017 RCS# 386	×	~	×	×	NA	~	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
HB 2024 RCS# 306	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2674 RCS# 119	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
SB 472 RCS# 412	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 3304 RCS# 613	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 527	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 RCS# 159	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 584	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2257 RCS#90	×	~	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	~

	Diserio, Phillip	Doyle, John	Ellington, Joe	Espinosa, Paul	Evans, Ed	Evans Fleischauer, Barbara	Fast, Tom	Ferrell, Dana	Fluharty, Shawn	Forsht, Don	Foster, Geoff	Garcia, Joey	Gearheart, Marty	Graves, Dianna	Griffith, Ric	Hamrick, Danny	Hanna, Caleb
DISTRICT	2	67	27	66	26	51	32	39	3	60	15	50	27	38	19	48	44
HB 2013 RCS# 86	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 80	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 81	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 82	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	
HB 2013 RCS# 83	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 84	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	
HB 2013 RCS# 85	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~
SB 657 RCS# 568	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	 ✓
SB 275 RCS# 322	×	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	 ✓
SB 275 RCS# 318	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	 ✓
SB 272 RCS# 112	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	
HB 2002 RCS# 630	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	
HB 2891 RCS# 647	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 32	×	×	NA	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	
HB 2007 RCS# 25	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	
HB 2007 RCS# 26	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	
HB 2007 RCS# 27	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 28	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	 ✓
HB 2009 RCS# 211	×	×	NA	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	×	×	~	~
HB 2264 RCS# 19	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×
HB 2726 RCS# 296	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2017 RCS# 386	~	~	×	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	~
HB 2024 RCS# 306	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~
HB 2674 RCS# 119	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
SB 472 RCS# 412	×	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 3304 RCS# 613	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 527	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 RCS# 159	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 584	×	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2257 RCS#90	/	~	NA	×	~	•	*	×	v	×	×	•	×	~	~	×	×

✓ = Voted with AFP West Virginia's Position X = Voted against AFP West Virginia's Position NA = Absent

HOUSE VOTES

	Hansen, Evan	Hanshaw, Roger	Hardy, John	Haynes, Austin	Higginbotham, Joshua	Holstein, Josh	Hornbuckle, Sean	Horst, Chuck	Hott, John Paul	Householder, Eric	Howell, Gary	Jeffries, Joe	Jeffries, Dean	Jennings, Rolland	Keaton, Riley	Kelly, David	Kelly, John
DISTRICT	51	33	63	32	13	23	16	62	54	64	56	22	40	53	11	6	10
HB 2013 RCS# 86	×	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×
HB 2013 RCS# 80	×	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×
HB 2013 RCS# 81	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 82	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 83	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 84	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 85	×	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 657 RCS# 568	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	V
SB 275 RCS# 322	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 318	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 272 RCS# 112	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2002 RCS# 630	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 647	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 32	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 25	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 26	×	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 27	×	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 28	×	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2009 RCS# 211	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2264 RCS# 19	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~
HB 2726 RCS# 296	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2017 RCS# 386	~	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
HB 2024 RCS# 306	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2674 RCS# 119	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 472 RCS# 412	×	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 3304 RCS# 613	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 527	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 RCS# 159	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 584	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2257 RCS#90	~	×	×	×	NA	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	×	×	×	×	×

	Kessinger, Kayla	Kimble, Laura	Kimes, Shannon	Linville, Daniel	Longanacre, Todd	Lovejoy, Chad	Mallow, Phil	Mandt Jr., John	Martin, Carl	Maynard, Zack	Mazzocchi, Margitta	McGeehan, Pat	Miller, George	Nestor, Ty	Pack, Jeffrey	Pack, Larry	Paynter, Tony
DISTRICT	32	48	9	16	42	17	50	16	45	22	24	1	58	43	28	35	25
HB 2013 RCS# 86	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 80	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 81	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 82	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 83	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 84	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 85	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 657 RCS# 568	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 322	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	~	×
SB 275 RCS# 318	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	~	×
SB 272 RCS# 112	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2002 RCS# 630	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 647	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 32	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 25	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 26	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 27	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 28	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2009 RCS# 211	NA	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	~	×	×	~	~	~	×
HB 2264 RCS# 19	~	~	~	NA	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	×	~	×	~
HB 2726 RCS# 296	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~
HB 2017 RCS# 386	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	NA	×	×	×
HB 2024 RCS# 306	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~	NA	~
HB 2674 RCS# 119	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~
SB 472 RCS# 412	~	×	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	×
HB 3304 RCS# 613	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 527	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 RCS# 159	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 584	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2257 RCS#90	×	~	×	×	×	~	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	×	×	~

✓ = Voted with AFP West Virginia's Position X = Voted against AFP West Virginia's Position NA = Absent

HOUSE VOTES

	Pethel, Dave	Phillips, Chris	Pinson, Jonathan	Pritt, Chris	Pushkin, Mike	Queen, Ben	Reed, Ken	Reynolds, Charlie	Riley, Clay	Rohrbach, Matthew	Rowan, Ruth	Rowe, Larry	Skaff, Doug	Smith, Doug	Statler, Joe	Steele, Brandon	Storch, Erikka
DISTRICT	5	47	13	36	37	48	59	4	48	17	57	36	35	27	51	29	3
HB 2013 RCS# 86	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	~	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 80	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	×	×	×	×	×	~	~	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 81	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 82	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 83	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 84	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2013 RCS# 85	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
SB 657 RCS# 568	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 322	×	×	×	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 318	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
SB 272 RCS# 112	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2002 RCS# 630	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 647	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 32	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 25	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 26	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 27	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	×	~	~	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 28	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	×	~	~	~	~
HB 2009 RCS# 211	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2264 RCS# 19	×	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2726 RCS# 296	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~
HB 2017 RCS# 386	~	×	×	~	~	×	×	×	×	×	×	~	×	×	×	×	×
HB 2024 RCS# 306	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~
HB 2674 RCS# 119	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	×	×
SB 472 RCS# 412	×	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	~	~	×	~
HB 3304 RCS# 613	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	~
SB 714 RCS# 527	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 RCS# 159	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 584	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2257 RCS#90	×	×	×	~	~	×	×	×	×	×	×	~	~	×	×	×	×

	Summers, Amy	Sypolt, Terri	Thompson, Cody	Toney, Chris	Tully, Heather	Walker, Danielle	Wamsley, Johnnie	Ward, Guy	Ward, Bryan	Westfall, Steve	Williams, John	Worrell, Evan	Young, Kayla	Zatezalo, Mark	Zukoff, Lisa
DISTRICT	49	52	43	31	41	51	14	50	55	12	51	18	35	1	4
HB 2013 RCS# 86	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 80	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 81	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 82	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 83	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	NA	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 84	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2013 RCS# 85	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
SB 657 RCS# 568	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 275 RCS# 322	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×	×	~	×	×	×	~	×
SB 275 RCS# 318	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
SB 272 RCS# 112	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2002 RCS# 630	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2891 RCS# 647	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2007 RCS# 32	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	×	×	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 25	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 26	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 27	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2007 RCS# 28	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	~	×	~	×	~	×
HB 2009 RCS# 211	~	~	×	×	~	×	~	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	×
HB 2264 RCS# 19	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	×	×	~	×	×	×
HB 2726 RCS# 296	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2017 RCS# 386	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	×	×	×	~	×	~	×	~
HB 2024 RCS# 306	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~	~	~	~	~	~	NA	~
HB 2674 RCS# 119	~	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~	×	NA	~
SB 472 RCS# 412	~	~	NA	×	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	×	×	~	×
HB 3304 RCS# 613	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
SB 714 RCS# 527	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2877 RCS# 159	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HB 2022 RCS# 584	~	~	×	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	×	~	~	~	×
HB 2257 RCS#90	×	×	~	×	×	~	×	~	×	×	~	NA	~	×	~

✓ = Voted with AFP West Virginia's Position X = Voted against AFP West Virginia's Position NA = Absent

▲ AFPWestVirginia
▲ AFPWV
▲ AFPWV
InfoWV@afphq.org

