


Texas Legislative Report Card 2009

81st Session



AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY[®]



AFP's Mission

Americans for Prosperity (AFP) is committed to educating citizens about economic policy and mobilizing those citizens as advocates in the public policy process. AFP is an organization of grassroots leaders who engage citizens in the name of limited government and free markets on the local, state, and federal levels. The grassroots members of AFP advocate for public policies that champion the principles of entrepreneurship and fiscal regulatory restraint.

Message from Peggy Venable AFP-Texas Director

Americans for Prosperity is a grassroots organization of taxpayer advocates.

AFP is a nationwide organization of citizen leaders committed to advancing every individual's right to economic freedom and opportunity. Our mission is to empower citizens and to reduce the size and scope of government as the best safeguard to ensuring individual productivity and prosperity for all Americans. AFP educates and engages citizens in support of restraining state and federal government growth, and returning government to its constitutional limits.

The Texas Legislature meets in regular session only 140 days every other year. During the 2009 Legislative Session, 12,238 resolutions and bills were filed and 5,910 were passed into law.

Many bills never get to the House or Senate floor for a vote. Some of those bills which failed to get record votes included the major taxpayer protection legislation including the tax and expenditure limits. AFP-Texas staff selected the legislation which we had key voted and weighted some votes more heavily than others, depending upon the specific vote and how it relates to our mission and legislative priorities.

AFP believes legislators should be held accountable to their constituents for the votes they take. We also hold the legislature accountable for not passing real taxpayer protections which put taxpayers in greater control over how much government we want and are willing to pay for, as well as for not stopping taxpayer-funded lobbying, the practice of tax dollars being used to lobby against taxpayer interests.

Senate Vote Explanations.....	3
Senate Report Card.....	5
House Vote Explanations.....	6
House Report Card.....	9

Vote Information Retrieved from Official House & Senate Journals
Accessed at: www.legis.state.tx.us

Report Card Key

√ - Voted With AFP's Position

X - Voted Against AFP's Position

Held Harmless Votes

Negative Votes

AE - Excused Absence

AU - Unexcused Absence

A - Absence *

S - Serving as Speaker

PNV - Present, Not Voting

Grade Scale

A	90% - 100+%	Defender of the American Dream
B	80% - 89%	Friend of the Taxpayer
C	70% - 79%	Satisfactory
D	60% - 69%	Poor
F	0% - 59%	Failed the Taxpayer

Senate Key Votes

2009 Record Votes

Vote 1	Voter ID
Journal Page: 521	Bill: S.B. 362 (19 Yeas, 12 Nays)
	AFP's position: Yes

Senate Bill 362 would have ensured reliability in the democratic process by requiring those wishing to cast ballots to prove their eligibility to vote by presenting a government issued form of identification.

Vote 2	State Budget
Journal Page: 834	Bill S.B. 1 (29 Yeas, 2 Nays)
	AFP's Position: Yes

Senate Bill 1, the appropriations bill, proved that Texas is the only large state without a multi-billion dollar budget deficit. Texas' budget appropriated \$178.4 billion in all funds. With an eye toward the future, the legislature left \$9 billion unspent for priorities in the 2011 session.

Vote 3	Municipal Transportation-Reinvestment Zone
Journal Page: 985	Bill: S.B. 898 (31 Yeas, 0 Nays)
	AFP's Position: No

Senate Bill 898 would have included a city's ability to provide for freight or passenger rail facilities or systems to the permissible purposes of a municipal transportation reinvestment zone. A municipal transportation reinvestment zone allows local officials to create a local transportation tax.

Vote 4	Transportation Tax
Journal Page: 1003	Bill: S.B. 855 (21 Yeas, 9 Nays)
	AFP's Position: No

Senate Bill 855 would have allowed counties to impose a voter-approved 10 cent per gallon local option gas tax and index the statewide motor fuels tax to inflation. However, Texas' transportation funding shortfalls stem not from insufficient revenue but rather due to misguided spending practices by diverting transportation funds to other projects such as economic development programs or public safety initiatives.

Vote 5	Stimulus Unemployment Insurance
Journal Page: 1185	Bill: S.B. 1569 (19 Yeas, 1 Nay, 1 Absent)
	AFP's Position: No

Senate Bill 1569 asked Texas to accept federal stimulus funds, and the strings attached, that would permanently increase costs on Texas employers once the one-time federal funds ran out.

Vote 6	Eminent Domain
Journal Page: 1965	Bill: S.B. 18 (31 Yeas, 0 Nays)
	AFP's Position: Yes

Senate Bill 18 would have restored private property rights by limiting the state's ability to condemn a property without a "public use" justification. SB 18 would amend the Government Code to prohibit a governmental or private entity from taking private property through the use of eminent domain if the taking is not for public use or is for solely economic development purposes.

Vote 7	Expansion of Pre-K
Journal Page: 3973	Bill: H.B. 130 (26 Yeas, 5 Nays)
	AFP's Position: No

House Bill 130 would have created a new and costly government Pre-Kinder-garten program which approaches universal, government-funded pre-K. The bill did not contain accountability mechanisms for how the money would be spent for measuring academic results. Research does not show that Pre-K provides any lasting benefit to students—such as reduced dropout or crime rates. HB 130 was vetoed by the governor.

Vote 8	LBB Dynamic Fiscal Impact Statement
Journal Page: 3355	Bill: H.B. 464 (30 Yeas, 1 Nay)
	AFP's Position: Yes

House Bill 464 allows dynamic fiscal notes for all tax bills having a static fiscal note of \$100,000,000 or more to be prepared by the Legislative Budget Board to give the general public a better idea of the impact certain legislation will have on taxpayers.

Vote 9	Truth in Taxation
Journal Page: 3931	Bill: H.B. 2291 (31 Yeas, 0 Nays)
	AFP's Position: Yes

House Bill 2291 creates greater transparency by making it easier for property owners to know when a taxing unit is raising taxes by requiring that taxing units use particular wording in public meetings and on publications when increasing taxes.

Vote 10 **School Accountability**
Journal Page: 2328 Bill: H.B. 3 AFP's Position: Yes
(31 Yeas, 0 Nays)

House Bill 3 improves public education and strengthens the state's public school accountability system by holding schools accountable for graduating students who are college and career-ready, ensuring that schools retain rigorous high school graduation plans, improving parent access to student academic information and increasing school district financial transparency.

Vote 11 **Mesothelioma**
Journal Page: 1171 Bill: S.B. 1123 AFP's Position: No
(19 Yeas, 11 Nays, 1 Absent)

Senate Bill 1123 would have shifted the burden of proof from the plaintiff to the defendant in mesothelioma cases.

Committee Votes

Vote 12 **Local Option Tax**
Journal Page: 1012 Bill: S.B. 402 AFP's Position: Yes
(13 Yeas, 0 Nays, 2 Absent)

Senate Bill 402 would have allowed taxpayers to have a say in how much government they want and are willing to pay for by authorizing a municipality or a county to adopt or abolish the sales tax through an election.

Vote 13 **Automatic Rollback**
Journal Page: 1415 Bill: S.B. 700 AFP's Position: Yes
(12 Yeas, 1 Nay, 2 Absent)

Senate Bill 700 would have required a rollback election when local government revenues exceed 8% over the previous year. There would have been no petition-gathering requirement.

Vote 14 **Smoking Ban**
Journal Page: 2358 Bill: S.B. 544 AFP's Position: No
(5 Yeas, 3 Nays, 1 Absent)

Senate Bill 544 would have imposed a statewide smoking ban in Texas. A statewide smoking ban would be an expansion of government that would violate property rights and reduce consumer choice. This bill died in the Texas House.

Vote 15 **Tort Reform**
Journal Page: 3165 Bill: H.B. 1657 AFP's Position: No
(5 Yeas, 0 Nays, 4 Absent)

HB 1657 would have reversed the 2007 Supreme Court case of Entergy vs. John Summers which ruled that a premises owner who is the general contractor can claim immunity from worker's compensation because it paid worker's compensation insurance on-site. This bill died in the Texas Senate.

Extra Credit

(Each authored bill, that benefits Texas Taxpayers, was counted at 2 points each.)

S.B. 700 **Automatic Rollback**
Authors: Patrick, Dan
Relating to increased transparency regarding appraisals for ad valorem tax purposes and increased tax rates.

S.B. 928 **Spending Limits**
Authors: Patrick, Dan | Carona | Eltife | Nelson | Nichols
Relating to the limitation on the rate of growth of appropriations.

S.B. 1215 **Taxpayer-Funded Lobbying**
Author: Patrick, Dan
Relating to lobbying by former members of the legislature; creating an offense

S.B. 1430 **Card Check***
Author: Williams
Relating to election through secret ballot of a labor union as the exclusive bargaining representative.

H.J.R. 14 - Proposition 11 **Limits of Power on Eminent Domain**
Senate Sponsor: Duncan
The highest percentage win on the Nov 3, 2009 Ballot was for Proposition 11. Prop. 11 was the "Kelo decision fix" that will prohibit local governments from taking homesteads and turning them into shopping centers which would produce more local tax revenue. It is a real property tax protection amendment. That amendment won with over 81% of the voters agreeing.

H.J.R. 36 - Proposition 2, 3 & 5 **Homestead Appraisals**
Senate Sponsor: Williams
November 3, 2009 provided a win for homeowners. Due to Propositions 2, 3, & 5, homeowners can now rest assured that their property will not be taxed at "highest and best use" for the land – it will be taxed as a home.

Demerits

(Each authored bill, that hurt Texas Taxpayers, was counted at negative 2 points each.)

S.B. 544 **Smoking Ban**

Author: Ellis

Relating to the elimination of smoking in certain workplaces and public places; providing penalties.

S.B. 690 **Home-Rule Municipality***

Author: Wentworth

Relating to the requirements for a petition proposing an amendment to the charter of a home-rule municipality.

S.B. 1480 **Cities Aggregation Power Project***

Author: Davis, Wendy

Relating to the regulation of electric generation capacity ownership in the electric power market.

SB 1481 **Cities Aggregation Power Project***

Author: Davis, Wendy

Relation to energy aggregation by political subdivisions.

* - Weighted 2 times the original point designation.



2009 Key Votes

Committee Votes

Last Name	District	Number of Votes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Extra	Total Score	Grade
Averitt	R 22	13	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	A	✓	-	-	-	62%	D
Carona	R 16	13	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	X	+2	48%	F
Davis	D 10	11	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	-	-8	37%	F
Deuell	R 02	17	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	-	76%	C
Duncan	R 28	17	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	X	+2	55%	F
Ellis	D 13	13	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	X	-2	36%	F
Eltife	R 01	15	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	+2	67%	C
Estes	R 30	11	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	82%	B
Fraser	R 24	11	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	A	-	91%	A
Gallegos	D 06	11	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	-	-	36%	F
Harris	R 09	13	✓	✓	X	AE	AE	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	A	-	A	-	62%	D
Hegar	R 18	11	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	-	-	73%	B
Hinojosa	D 20	15	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	60%	D
Huffman	R 17	13	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	85%	B
Jackson	R 11	11	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	A	-	91%	A
Lucio	D 27	17	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	X	-	53%	F
Nelson	R 12	17	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	+2	84%	B
Nichols	R 03	11	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	A	-	+2	75%	C
Ogden	R 05	15	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	73%	C
Patrick	R 07	13	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	+6	91%	A
Seliger	R 31	15	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	73%	C
Shapiro	R 08	15	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	73%	C
Shapleigh	D 29	13	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	X	-	-	31%	F
Uresti	D 19	13	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	X	-	-	46%	F
Van De Putte	D 26	13	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	X	-	46%	F
Watson	D 14	11	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	-	-	45%	F
Wentworth	R 25	11	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	-	-4	51%	F
West	D 23	17	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	-	-	53%	F
Whitmire	D 15	11	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	A	A	-	-	-	45%	F
Williams	R 04	15	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+6	93%	A
Zaffirini	D 21	17	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	-	-	41%	F

House Key Votes

2009 Record Votes

Vote 1	Appraisal Values
Journal Page: 1005	Bill: H.B. 1038
Record Vote: 81	AFP's position: Yes (144 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 1038 amends current law relating to the determination of the market value of a residence homestead for purposes of ad valorem taxation. The bill requires appraisal districts to count the value of foreclosed properties when determining the value of a property. One problem identified in the current appraisal tax process is the exclusion by some appraisal districts of recently foreclosed properties and properties with distressed resale values due to the declining economy located in the same neighborhood when determining the fair market value of a property. HB 1038 passed both Chambers of the Legislature and was signed into law by the Governor.

Vote 2	State Budget
Journal Page: 1518	Bill: S.B. 1
Record Vote: 189	AFP's position: Yes (149 Yeas, 0 Nays, 0 PNV)

Senate Bill 1, the appropriations bill, proved that Texas is the only large state without a multi-billion dollar budget deficit. Texas' budget appropriated \$178.4 billion in all funds. With an eye toward the future, the legislature left \$9 billion unspent for priorities in the 2011 session.

Vote 3	Property Tax Creep
Journal Page: 1776	Bill: H.B. 8
Record Vote: 226	AFP's position: Yes (144 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 9 would require the comptroller of public accounts to conduct a property value study in each school district to ensure the equitable distribution of state funds to public schools every two years instead of annually. This bill also creates the Comptroller's Property Value Study Advisory Committee.

Vote 4	Texas Ethics
Journal Page: 1891	Bill: H.B. 677
Record Vote: 330	AFP's position: Yes (84 Yeas, 54 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 677 requires a candidate's opponent to be liable for attorney's fees and other costs incurred in defending against a complaint filed with the Texas Ethics Commission within 60 days of an election. These last minute complaints, real or frivolous, can greatly compromise a candidate's public position.

Vote 5	Property Tax Creep
Journal Page: 1896	Bill: H.J.R. 36
Record Vote: 331	AFP's position: Yes (143 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

A constitutional amendment authorizing the legislature to provide for the ad valorem taxation of a residence homestead solely on the basis of the property's value as a residence homestead; authorizing the legislature to authorize a single board of equalization for two or more adjoining appraisal entities that elect to provide for consolidated equalizations; and authorizing the legislature to provide for the administration and enforcement of uniform standards and procedures for appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes. (this HJR implements H.B. 3611 and H.B. 3613.)

Vote 6	Property Tax Creep
Journal Page: 1961	Bill: H.B. 3611
Record Vote: 348	AFP's position: Yes (146 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 3611 would authorize the boards of directors in two or more adjoining appraisal districts to consolidate their Appraisal Review Boards. In less populated areas, it can be difficult to find well-qualified individuals with experience in property valuation.

Vote 7	Property Tax Creep
Journal Page: 1961	Bill: H.B. 3612
Record Vote: 349	AFP's position: Yes (148 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 3612 creates a pilot program that allows taxpayer appeals from certain appraisal review board determinations in certain counties to be heard by the State Office of Administrative Hearings.

Vote 8	Property Tax Creep
Journal Page: 1962	Bill: H.B. 3613
Record Vote: 350	AFP's position: Yes (142 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 3613 would require that the market value of a residence homestead be determined solely on the basis of the property's value as a residence homestead. This bill also provides for totally disabled veterans to receive 100% exemption on their local property taxes. Appraisers can currently consider the "highest and best use" of the property to arrive at fair market value. This allows for property to be valued on what it could produce rather than its current use.

Vote 9 **School Accountability**
Journal Page: 2107 Bill: H.B. 3 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 407 (147 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 3 improves public education and strengthens the state's public school accountability system by holding schools accountable for graduating students who are college and career-ready, ensuring that schools retain rigorous high school graduation plans, improving parent access to student academic information and increasing school district financial transparency.

Vote 10 **Computation of the Franchise Tax**
Journal Page: 2416 Bill: H.B. 4765 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 537 (141 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 4765 promotes small business as Texas' economic engine by increasing the revenue ceiling of tax-eligible companies upward from \$300,000 to \$1 million per year. As a result, nearly 40,000 small businesses will have more money to invest back into their work.

Vote 11 **Property Tax Creep**
Journal Page: 2691 Bill: H.B. 1309 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 620 (146 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 1309 changes the date by which the comptroller must certify the apportioned amount of the market value of railroad rolling stock to a county assessor-collector from August 1 to before July 26.

Vote 12 **Truth in Taxation**
Journal Page: 2726 Bill: H.B. 2291 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 653 (141 Yeas, 0 Nays, 3 PNV)

House Bill 2291 creates greater transparency by making it easier for property owners to know when a taxing unit is raising taxes by requiring that taxing units use particular wording in public meetings and on publications when increasing taxes.

Vote 13 **Expansion of Pre-K**
Journal Page: 2749 Bill: H.B. 130 AFP's position: No
Record Vote: 130 (109 Yeas, 32 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 130 would have created a new and costly government Pre-Kindergarten program which approaches universal, government-funded pre-K. The bill did not contain accountability mechanisms for how the money would be spent for measuring academic results. Research does not show that Pre-K provides any lasting benefit to students—such as reduced dropout or crime rates. HB 130 was vetoed by the governor.

Vote 14 **Texas TXDOT Reauthorization**
Journal Page: 2794 Bill: H.B. 300 AFP's position: No
Record Vote: 662 (117 Yeas, 21 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 300 would have continued the Texas Department of Transportation which was up for sunset. The legislation would have included functions of the Texas Department of Transportation, including the transfer of certain functions to the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles and the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation; providing penalties. The bill did not pass and TXDOT Sunset will be reconsidered in 2011.

Vote 15 **Eminent Domain**
Journal Page: 3149 Bill: H.J.R. 14 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 738 (144 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

Proposing constitutional amendments limiting the public taking of private property, establishing the national research university fund to fund emerging research universities, and eliminating the higher education fund.

Vote 16 **LBB Dynamic Fiscal Note**
Journal Page: 3757 Bill: H.B. 464 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 897 (139 Yeas, 0 Nays, 1 PNV)

House Bill 464 allows dynamic fiscal notes for all tax bills having a static fiscal note of \$100,000,000 or more to be prepared by the Legislative Budget Board to give the general public a better idea of the impact certain legislation will have on taxpayers.

Vote 17 **Texas TXDOT Sunset**
Journal Page: 5067 Bill: H.B. 300 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 1373 (84 Yeas, 59 Nays, 2 PNV)

Record Vote 1373 was a motion to instruct the House Conferees to remove the gas tax and local fee hikes out of the conference report. Until we can prevent diversions from transportation funds, new taxing authorities should not be created.

Vote 18 **State Sovereignty***
Journal Page: 6005 Bill: H.C.R. 50 AFP's position: Yes
Record Vote: 1544 (99 Yeas, 36 Nays, 4 PNV)

House Concurrent Resolution 50 affirms that Texas claims sovereignty under the 10th Amendment over all powers not otherwise granted to the federal government. With the unprecedented expansion and encroachment of the federal government HCR 50 reiterates states' rights under the 10th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Demerits

(Each authored bill, that hurt Texas Taxpayers, was counted at negative 2 points each.)

H.B. 5 **Smoking Ban**

Authors: Crownover | McCall | Sheffield | Alvarado

Relating to the elimination of smoking in all workplaces and public places; providing penalties.

H.B. 9 **New Transportation Taxing Authority**

Authors: Truitt

Relating to Transportation Funding in this state.

H.B. 634 **Cap & Trade Tax***

Authors: Hernandez | Rodriguez | Naishtat

Relating to establishing a carbon dioxide “cap and trade” program to enable participation in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.

H.B. 3458 **Home-Rule Municipality**

Author: Branch

Relating to the requirements for a petition proposing an amendment to the charter of a home-rule municipality.

H.B. 3641 **Limiting Open-Records Requests***

Author: Miller, Doug

Relating to the preservation of effective responses to requests for information pursuant to the public information law.

* - Weighted 2 times the original point designation.

2009 Key Votes

Committee Votes

Last Name	District	# of Votes	2009 Key Votes																				Committee Votes			Total Score	Grade
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	5	2623	Extra			
Allen	D 131	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D	
Alonzo	D 104	20	AU	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	60%	D	
Alvarado	D 145	19	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	AE	X	-	-	-2	72%	C	
Anchia	D 103	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D	
Anderson	R 56	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+4	104%	A+	
Aycock	R 54	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+2	97%	A	
Berman	R 06	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+2	97%	A	
Bohac	R 138	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	PNV	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	84%	B	
Bolton	D 47	18	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	PNV	X	-	-	-	72%	C	
Bonnen	R 25	19	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+2	92%	A	
Branch	R 108	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-2	88%	B	
Brown, Betty	R 04	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	
Brown, Fred	R 14	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Burnam	D 90	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	60%	D	
Button	R 112	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B	
Callegari	R 132	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	
Castro	D 125	19	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AE	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	74%	C	
Chavez	D 76	20	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C	
Chisum	R 88	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Christian	R 09	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	A	+4	104%	A+	
Cohen	D 134	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Coleman	D 147	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	60%	D	
Cook	R 08	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	91%	A	
Corte Jr.	R 122	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	AE	✓	-	-	+4	93%	A	
Crabb	R 127	16	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	AE	AE	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	+2	90%	A	
Craddick	R 82	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	82%	B	
Creighton	R 16	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	
Crownover	R 64	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-2	93%	A	
Darby	R 72	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	
Davis, John	R 129	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Davis, Yvonne	D 111	20	AU	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D	
Deshotel	D 22	21	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	X	-	57%	F	
Driver	R 113	17	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	AE	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	-	-	-	88%	B	
Dukes	D 46	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D	
Dunnam	D 57	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Dutton Jr.	D 142	20	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	AU	AU	X	X	-	-	-	60%	D	
Edwards	D 146	20	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	80%	B	

		# of Votes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	5	2623	Extra	Total Score	Grade
Eiland	D	23	20	✓	✓	✓	X	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AU	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D
Eissler	R	15	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A
Elkins	R	135	22	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	X	-	82%	B
England	D	106	21	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	AU	X	-	X	-	62%	D
Farabee	D	69	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	AE	X	✓	-	-	80%	B
Farias	D	118	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D
Farrar	D	148	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	AU	X	X	X	-	-	-	60%	D
Fletcher	R	130	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A
Flores	D	36	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C
Flynn	R	02	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+2	102%	A+
Frost	D	01	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B
Gallego	D	74	22	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	-	-	68%	D
Gattis	R	20	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	✓	-2	84%	B
Geren	R	99	22	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	PNV	✓	-	-	77%	C
Giddings	D	109	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	AE	X	AE	X	-	X	-	68%	D
Gonzales	D	41	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C
Gonzalez Toureilles	D	35	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	75%	C
Guillen	D	31	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	-	-	+2	82%	B
Gutierrez	D	119	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C
Hamilton	R	19	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B
Hancock	R	91	17	✓	✓	✓	AE	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	94%	A
Hardcastle	R	68	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A
Harless	R	126	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	-	-	77%	C
Harper-Brown	R	105	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	100%	A+
Hartnett	R	114	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A
Heflin	D	85	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	AU	X	-	-	-	70%	C
Hernandez	D	143	19	AE	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-4	64%	D
Herrero	D	34	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C
Hilderbran	R	53	21	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	+2	83%	B
Hochberg	D	137	18	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	X	✓	✓	X	AU	X	-	-	-	67%	D
Hodge	D	100	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	75%	C
Homer	D	03	19	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	PNV	✓	X	-	-	-	79%	C
Hopson	R	11	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B
Howard, Charlie	R	26	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+2	97%	A
Howard, Donna	D	48	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	PNV	X	-	-	-	78%	C
Hughes	R	05	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	+6	96%	A
Hunter	R	32	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B
Isett	R	84	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A
Jackson	R	115	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A
Jones	R	83	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		A	-	95%	A

		# of																							Total	Grade	
		Votes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	5	2623	Extra	Score		
Keffer	R	60	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	PNV	A	+2	87%	B
Kent	D	102	19	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	AE	X	-	-	-	68%	D	
King, Phil	R	61	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+4	99%	A	
King, Susan	R	71	19	✓	✓	✓	AU	AU	AU	AU	AU	✓	✓	✓	AE	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	58%	F
King, Tracy	D	80	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Kleinschmidt	R	17	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Kolkhorst	R	13	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B	
Kuempel	R	44	17	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	AE	AE	AE	AE	-	-	-	77%	C	
Laubenberg	R	89	18	✓	✓	✓	AE	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	100%	A+	
Legler	R	144	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Leibowitz	D	117	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	65%	D	
Lewis	R	81	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	
Lucio III	D	38	20	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	80%	B	
Madden	R	67	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	-	-	95%	A	
Maldonado	D	52	22	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	-	-	68%	D	
Mallory Caraway	D	110	17	✓	✓	AU	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	AU	X	AE	AE	✓	X	X	-	-	59%	F	
Marquez	D	77	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	-	-	-	70%	C	
Martinez	D	39	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Martinez Fischer	D	116	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	AU	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	60%	D	
McCall	R	66	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-2	88%	B	
McClendon	D	120	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C	
McReynolds	D	12	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Menendez	D	124	22	AU	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	59%	F	
Merritt	R	07	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	
Miklos	D	101	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Miller, Doug	R	73	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-4	86%	B	
Miller, Sid	R	59	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	X	-	82%	B	
Moody	D	78	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	80%	B	
Morrison	R	30	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	AE	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	94%	A	
Naishtat	D	49	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-4	61%	D	
Oliveira	D	37	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Olivo	D	27	19	✓	✓	AE	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	A	-	-	68%	D	
Orr	R	58	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	X	X	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	-	A	-	84%	B	
Ortiz	D	33	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Otto	R	18	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	X	X	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+2	91%	A	
Parker	R	63	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Patrick	R	94	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B	
Paxton	R	70	20	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+6	101%	A+	
Peña	D	40	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Phillips	R	62	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B	

		# of	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	5	2623	Extra	Total	Grade	
		Votes																							Score		
Pickett	D	79	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Pierson	D	93	19	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	AE	X	AU	X	-	-	-	74%	C	
Pitts	R	10	15	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	AE	X	AE	AE	AU	✓	AE	-	-	-	75%	C	
Quintanilla	D	75	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	X	-	77%	C	
Raymond	D	42	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C	
Riddle	R	150	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Rios Ybarra	D	43	19	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	84%	B	
Ritter	D	21	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	AU	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Rodriguez	D	51	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	-	-	-4	66%	D	
Rose	D	45	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	+4	89%	B	
Sheffield	R	55	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-2	88%	B	
Shelton	R	97	17	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	AE	AE	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	88%	B	
Smith, Todd	R	92	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Smith, Wayne	R	128	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Smithee	R	86	20	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Solomons	R	65	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	77%	C
Strama	D	50	18	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	PNV	✓	-	-	-	78%	C	
Straus III	R	121	0	S	✓	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	
Swinford	R	87	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	81%	B
Taylor	R	24	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Thibaut	D	133	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	-	-	-	85%	B	
Thompson	D	141	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AU	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	75%	C	
Truitt	R	98	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	PNV	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-2	82%	B	
Turner, Chris	D	96	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	-	-	+2	77%	C	
Turner, Sylvester	D	139	19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	AU	✓	AE	✓	X	X	-	A	-	79%	C	
Vaught	D	107	21	✓	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	-	-	-	67%	D
Veasey	D	95	19	✓	✓	AE	X	✓	AU	✓	AU	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	58%	F	
Villarreal	D	123	19	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	AE	✓	✓	X	X	✓	AU	X	X	X	-	-	-	58%	F	
Vo	D	149	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C	
Walle	D	140	20	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	-	-	-	70%	C	
Weber	R	29	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	95%	A	
Woolley	R	136	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	-	-	-	85%	B	
Zerwas	R	28	20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	AU	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	90%	A	